

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Thermochimica Acta 430 (2005) 31–34

thermochimica acta

www.elsevier.com/locate/tca

The limiting partial molar volume and apparent molar volume of glycylglycine in aqueous KCl solution at 298.15 and 308.15 K

Guimei Lin, Ruisen Lin∗, Lin Ma

Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

Received 17 September 2004; received in revised form 20 December 2004; accepted 21 December 2004 Available online 24 January 2005

Abstract

Apparent molar volumes V_Φ of glycylglycine in aqueous KCl solutions have been obtained from densities at 298.15 and 308.15 K measured with a vibrating-tube densimeter. These data have been used to deduce partial molar volumes of transfer Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} from water to different KCl–water mixtures. Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} values are positive. This result arises from the interaction of KCl with the charged centers of glycylglycine. The results show that Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} depends less on temperature. Hydration numbers are calculated from V^0_{ϕ} data and Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} are interpreted in terms of various interactions.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Limiting partial mole volume; Glycylglylcine; KCl

1. Introduction

The partial molar volumes of proteins are a characteristic parameter that has been used to elucidate several processes such as protein conformation changes, protein aggregation or polymerization [1–6]. Because of the complexities of proteins, it is difficult to investigate thermodynamic properties directly. It is common practice to use amino acids and oligopeptides as model compounds [7–10]. Most previous studies on [oligope](#page-3-0)ptides have been restricted to water [11–14]. However, biological fluids are not pure water, and the properties of proteins such as their structure, solubility, denaturation, activity of enzy[mes, etc.](#page-3-0) are greatly influenced by electrolytes [15–18]. Although there are some investigations of this property of glycylglycine in aqueous NaCl solutions [19,20], no systematic studies exist on the volume properties of oligopeptides in electrolyte solutions. This paper [presents](#page-3-0) [th](#page-3-0)e densities ρ , apparent volumes V_{ϕ} and infinite dilution apparent molar volume V^0_{ϕ} in aqueous KCl solutions [at](#page-3-0) [298](#page-3-0).15 and 308.15 K.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Glycylglycine (BR mass fraction > 0.98) was procured from China Medicine (Group) Shanghai Chemical Reagent Corporation, dried for 6 h at 60° C, and stored over silica gel in a vacuum desiccator for 48 h before use. Potassium chloride (AR mass fraction \geq 0.995) was procured from Mingo Chemical Reagent Factory, purified by two recrystallizations from distilled and deionized water obtained by a quartz subboiling purifier, dried at $110\degree C$, and stored over silica gel in a vacuum desiccator for 48 h before use.

Solutions were prepared by weight using a Mettler AE 200 analytical balance with a precision of 0.0001 g. All liquids were degassed ultrasonically.

2.2. Density measurements

Densities were determined by a vibrating-tube digital densimeter (DMA55 Anton Paar) thermostated to ± 0.01 K (HAAKE C). The densimeter was calibrated with twice distilled water and dry air. The density of water at 298.15

[∗] Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 571 87952371; fax: +86 571 87951895. *E-mail address:* guimeilin@zju.edu.cn (R. Lin).

^{0040-6031/\$ –} see front matter © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2004.12.008

^a Estimated uncertainties for V_{Φ} in parentheses.

and 308.15 K was, respectively, taken as 0.99729 and 0.99403 g cm⁻³ [21] and the density of air as 1.1845×10^{-3} and 1.1460×10^{-3} g cm⁻³ [22].

An average of triplicate measurements was the final result.

3. Results

3.1. The partial molar volume at infinite dilution and transfer partial molar volume

Apparent molar volumes, V_{ϕ} , were calculated from the density data with the equation:

$$
V_{\phi} = M/\rho - 1000(\rho - \rho_0)/m\rho\rho_0, \tag{1}
$$

where M is the molar mass of the solute (glycylglycine) in g mol[−]1, *m* the molality of the solute in aqueous KCl solution in mol kg⁻¹, ρ and ρ_0 are the densities of solution (glycylglycine, KCl and water) and aqueous KCl solution, respectively, in $g \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Estimated uncertainties in apparent molar volumes, δV_{ϕ} , were calculated using the equation reported by Hedwig [23]

$$
\delta V_{\Phi} = -(M + 1000/m)\delta \rho / \rho^2 \tag{2}
$$

[The un](#page-3-0)certainty in solution density, $\delta \rho$, was estimated to be 2×10^{-5} g cm⁻³. In using Eq. (2), the contributions to δV_{Φ} arising from uncertainties in solution concentrations (0.03–0.15%) are assumed to be negligible compared with those arising from the uncertainties in density. The densities and apparent molar volumes of the glycylglycine and the uncertainties are presented in Table 1.

Partial molar volumes at infinite dilution were obtained by least-squares fitting to the equation:

$$
V_{\Phi} = V_{\Phi}^0 + S_v m \tag{3}
$$

Table 2 The partial molar volumes at infinite dilutions V^0_{ϕ} and the transfer partial molar volumes Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} at 298.15 and 308.15 K

m_{KC1} (mol kg ⁻¹)	V_{ϕ}^0 (cm ³ mol ⁻¹) (298.15 K)	V_{ϕ}^{0} (cm ³ mol ⁻¹) (308.15 K)	Δ trs V_{ϕ}^0 (cm ³ mol ⁻¹) (298.15 K)	Δ trs V_{ϕ}^0 (cm ³ mol ⁻¹) (308.15 K)
0.0000	76.39 $(0.019)^{a,b}$	77.12 (0.009)		
0.1020	76.77 (0.015)	77.49 (0.008)	0.38(0.038)	0.37(0.017)
0.4941	77.82 (0.021)	78.56 (0.027)	1.43(0.042)	1.44(0.036)
1.0204	79.27 (0.014)	79.59 (0.020)	2.88(0.033)	2.47(0.029)
2.0117	80.54 (0.025)	81.34 (0.043)	4.15(0.042)	4.22(0.052)
2.7822	81.57 (0.023)	81.95 (0.011)	5.18 (0.046)	4.83(0.020)

^a Uncertainties for V^0_{ϕ} in parentheses.

^a Uncertainties for V^0_{ϕ} in parentheses.
^b Literature values of V^0_{ϕ} (cm³ mol⁻¹) of glycylglycine in water: 76.43 ± 0.04 [20], 76.28.0.02 [19] at 298.15 K; 77.10 ± 0.01[19] at 308.15 K.

where S_v is the experimental slope and m the molality of the solute (glycylglycine) in mixtures. Apparent molar volumes of glycylglycine were found to be a linear function of m[olalit](#page-3-0)y over the concentration range studied. Transfer volumes were calculated by

$$
\Delta \text{ trs } V^0_{\phi} \{ \text{water to KCl (aq)} \} = V^0_{\phi} \{ \text{in KCl (aq)} \} - V^0_{\phi} \{ \text{in water} \}
$$
 (4)

The partial molar volumes at infinite dilution, the standard deviations of fitting to Eq. (3), and the transfer partial molar volumes are presented in Table 2.

3.2. The hydration number

The partial molar volume at infinite dilution of a nonelectrolyte can be divided into two parts [24]:

$$
V_{\phi}^{0} = V_{\text{int}} + V_{\text{elect}}, \tag{5}
$$

where V_{int} is the intrinsic molar volume of the nonelectrolyte solute and V_{elect} the electr[ostrict](#page-3-0)ion partial molar volume due to the hydration of the nonelectrolyte. Millero et al. [25] reported the intrinsic molar volume could be estimated from the molar volume of nonelectrolyte crystal:

$$
V_{\text{int}} = (0.7/0.634) V_{\text{cryst}}, \tag{6}
$$

where 0.7 is the packing density for molecules in organic crystals, 0.634 the packing density for random packing spheres, and V_{cryst} the molar volume of the nonelectrolyte crystal. The *V*_{cryst} of the glycylglycine is 1.534 g cm^{−3} [26] at 298.15 K, and crystal density of the glycylglycine at 308.15 K was assumed to be the same as at 298.15 K.

Millero et al. [27] reported a relation between the electrostriction volume and the hydration nu[mber o](#page-3-0)f nonelectrolyte in solution:

$$
V_{\text{elect}} = N_{\text{h}} (V_{\text{e}}^0 - V_{\text{b}}^0), \tag{7}
$$

where V_e^0 is the molar volume of electrostricted water and V_b^0 the molar volume of bulk water. If 1 mol of water molecules in the bulk moves to the solvating sphere of the nonelectrolyte, th[e](#page-3-0) volume change is $(V_e^0 - V_b^0)$. Following the procedure descried by Millero et al. [32], we found that $(V_e^0 - V_b^0)$ was $-3.3 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ at 298.15 K and $-4.0 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ at

Table 3

The hydration numbers of glycylglycine in the aqueous KCl solutions of the d[ifferen](#page-3-0)t concentrations at 298.[15 and](#page-3-0) 308.15 K

m_{KCl} (mol kg ⁻¹)	N_h /entries	
	298.15K	308.15K
0.0000	5.67	4.49
0.1020	5.55	4.40
0.4941	5.23	4.13
1.0204	4.79	3.88
2.0117	4.41	3.44
2.7822	4.10	3.29

Fig. 1. The structural interaction for two cospheres.

308.15 K. Hydration numbers are presented in Table 3 estimated by using Eqs. $(5)-(7)$.

4. Discussion

4.1. The transfer partial molar volume

The partial molar volumes at infinite dilution V^0_{ϕ} and the transfer partial molar volume Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} are both positive, which can be explained by the cosphere overlap model [28,29]. In Fig. 1, if *X* and *Y* are hydrophobic, $\Delta V < 0$. If *X* is hydrophobic and *Y* is ionic or dipolar, then $\Delta V < 0$. If *X* and *Y* are both ionic or dipolar, $\Delta V > 0$. The overlap of cospheres of two ionic species relaxes some solvating water to the bulk so that overall structure is increased, giving rise to a positive volume change. This tendency can also be explained by Eq. (8) [30]:

$$
V_{\phi}^{0} = V_{\text{v,w}} + V_{\text{void}} - n\sigma_{\text{s}}
$$
\n(8)

where $V_{v,w}$ is the van der waal's volume, V_{void} the void or empty volume, σ_s the shrinkage in volume caused by the interaction of a hydrogen-bonding group with water molecules, and *n* the potential number of hydrogen bonding sites in a molecule. If we assume that $V_{v,w}$ and V_{void} are the same in water and KCl solutions, the positive volume change might arise from a decrease in σ_s in KCl solution. Because of the presence of KCl, the interaction of the glycylglycine and ions (K+, Cl[−]) becomes stronger, and the number of hydrogen bonds between glycylglycine and water molecules decreases, thus causing a decrease in σ_s .

Though glycylglycine is a nonelectrolyte, interactions with the charged centers $COO⁻$ and $NH₃⁺$ are important in aqueous solutions. There are four interactions in mixtures of glycylglycine, KCl and water: (a) ion–ion interaction between K⁺ ions with COO[−] groups, and Cl[−] ions with NH₃⁺ groups; (b) ion–apolar group interactions; (c) ion–peptide group interactions; and (d) apolar group–apolar group interactions. According to the cosphere overlap model, (a) and (c) lead to a positive volume contribution, but (b) and (d) lead to a negative volume contribution. The positive signs of V_{ϕ}^{0} and Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} thus indicate that interactions involving the charged centers of glycylglycine dominate the apolar group–apolar group and apolar group–ion interactions.

The partial molar volumes of glycylglycine increase with increasing temperature. Eq. (8) shows that the limiting apparent molar volume V^0_{Φ} consists of structural ($V_{v,w}$, V_{void}) and solvation $(n\sigma_s)$ contributions. Trends in group contributions of the $-COOH$, $-CH2-$ [11] and $-CHCONH-$ [31] to the volume increase [with](#page-2-0) increasing temperature. On the other hand, increasing temperature reduces the electrostriction and hence *n* and σ_s decrease. Because Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} is the difference of V^0_{ϕ} (in mixtures) and V^0_{ϕ} (in water), the influence of temperature is minimal.

4.2. The hydration number

In mixtures, decrease of the hydration number of glycylglycine with increasing KCl molality indicates that interactions involving ions (K+, Cl[−]) with the charged centers of the peptide become stronger. That weakens the electrostriction of the charged centers with water molecules and strengthens the hydration competition between ions and the charged centers of the peptide.

In summary, the values of Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} and V^0_{ϕ} are positive and increase with increasing ionic cosolute concentrations. The positive Δ trs V^0_{Φ} of glycylglycine from water to aqueous KCl solutions show that interactions involving the charged centers of peptides as well as ions are dominating, and the positive Δ trs V^0_{ϕ} also indicate that globular proteins will be stabilized by salts in the concentrations range studied [20]. These results also provide significant information on the nature of interaction of the ionic cosolutes with the peptide backbone that will help to elucidate the mechanism of interaction of the salts with proteins, which, in turn, determines the stability of the proteins.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China grant 20273061.

References

- [1] J.M. Cassell, R.G. Christensen, Biopolymers 5 (1967) 431.
- [2] R. Josephs, W.F. Harrington, Biochemistry 7 (1968) 2834.
- [3] B.R. Gerber, H. Noguchi, J. Mol. Biol. 26 (1967) 197.
- [4] T. Ikkai, T. Ooi, H. Noguchi, Science 153 (1966) 1756.
- [5] W. Kauzmann, Adv. Protein Chem. 14 (1959) 1.
- [6] S. Lapanje, M. Lunder, V. Vlachy, J. Skerjanc, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 491 (1977) 482.
- [7] M.M. Duke, A.W. Hakin, R.M. Mcay, K.E. Preuss, Can. J. Chem. 72 (1994) 1489–1494.
- [8] F. Millero, J. Chem. Rev. 71 (1971) 141–176.
- [9] A.W. Hakin, M.M. Duke, S.A. Klassen, R.M. Mckay, K.E. Press, Can. J. Chem. 74 (1994) 362–368.
- [10] A.K. Mishra, J.C. Ahluwalis, J. Phys. Chem. 88 (1984) 86-92.
- [11] A.W. Hakin, M.M. Duke, L.L. Groft, J.L. Marty, M.L. Rushfeldt, Can. J. Chem. 73 (1995) 725–734.
- [12] M. Häckel, G.R. Hedwig, Hans-Jürgen Hinz, Biophys. Chem. 73 (1998) 163–177.
- [13] T. Vogl, H.J. Hinz, G.R. Hedwig, Biophys. Chem. 54 (1995) 261–269.
- [14] M. Häckel, G.R. Hans-Jürgen Hinz, Hedwig, Biophys. Chem. 82 (1999) 35–50.
- [15] P.H. von Hippel, T. Schleich, in: S.N. Timasheff, G.D. Fasman (Eds.), Stability of Biological Macromolecules, vol. 2, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1969, p. 417.
- [16] P.H. von Hippel, T. Schleich, Acc. Chem. Res. 22 (1969) 257-265.
- [17] W.P. Jencks, Catalysis in Chemistry and Enzymology, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969, 351 pp.
- [18] G.I. Makhatadze, P.L. Privalov, J. Mol. Biol. 226 (1992) 491– 505.
- [19] J. Shen, Z. Li, B. Wang, Y. Zhang, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 32 (2000) 805–819.
- [20] S.K. Singh, N. Kishore, J. Sol. Chem. 32 (2003) 117–135.
- [21] Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 58th ed., 1977–1978, p. F-5.
- [22] Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 71st ed., 1990–1991, p. 6-6.
- [23] G.R. Hedwig, J. Sol. Chem. 17 (1988) 383–397.
- [24] H.L. Friedman, C.V. Krishnan, J. Sol. Chem. 2 (1973) 119.
- [25] F. Shahidi, P.G. Ferrell, J.T. Edwards, J. Sol. Chem. 5 (1976) 807–816.
- [26] S. Terasawa, H. Itsuke, S. Arakawa, J. Phys. Chem. 79 (1975) 2345–2351.
- [27] F.J. Millero, G.K. Wood, F.K. Leeple, E. VanHoff, J. Phys. Chem. 78 (1974) 1636.
- [28] A.W. Hakin, M.M. Duke, S.A. Klassen, R.M. Mckay, K.E. Press, Can. J. Chem. 72 (1994) 362.
- [29] H.S. Frank, M.W. Evans, J. Chem. Phys. 13 (1945) 507.
- [30] F. Shahidi, P.G. Ferrell, J.T. Edwards, J. Sol. Chem. 5 (1976) 807–816.
- [31] G.I. Makhatadze, V.N. Medvedkin, P.L. Privalov, Biopolymers 30 (1990) 1001–1010.
- [32] F.J. Millero, A. Lo Surdo, C. Shin, J. Phys. Chem. 82 (1978) 784.